Faculty Hiring Priorities Committee (FHPC) 2024-25 Rubric

FINAL DRAFT

Note: Examples listed under criteria below represent the types of indicators or information that would typically be considered in faculty position request ratings.

*IESE provided data

+Authors to provide data that is not available from IESE but still relevant.

Point calculations: The proposal will be ranked on a 5-point scale – whole numbers only.

	calculations: The pro				
Criteria (All Equally Weighted)	1 Point	2 points	3 Points	4 points	5 Points
	Very Minimal	Minimal	Moderate	Significant	Very Significant
Criteria 1: <u>Data/Evidence in Support of Need</u>	Very Minimal	Minimal need	Moderate need	Significant need	Very Significant need
	need as	as substantiated	as substantiated	as substantiated	as substantiated by
Instructional examples may include, but are not limited to, the following:	substantiated by	by appropriate	by appropriate	by appropriate	appropriate data
*-Full-Time/Total faculty (%)	appropriate data	data	data	data	
+-Diversification of Staff					
Engaging in Professional Development related to equity and diversity in					
hiring and equal employment opportunity					
*-Number of full-time faculty (provided by the Academic Senate)					
*-Load cushion					
*-Productivity: Fill rate and WSCH/FTEF					
-Productivity: Fill rate and WSCH/FIEF					
Student Services/Library examples may include but are not limited to, the					
following:					
+-Counselor- or Librarian-to-Student Ratio					
+-Number of Visits/Appointments					
+-Number of Workshops/Participants					
Criteria 2: Program Student Achievement and Potential Growth	Very Minimal	Minimal growth	Moderate	Significant growth	Very Significant
	growth potential	potential	growth potential	potential and/or	growth potential
Instructional examples may include, but are not limited to, the following:	and/or limited	and/or limited	and/or	limited	and/or significant
*-Enrollment	improvement in	improvement in	moderate	improvement in	improvement or
*-Success and Retention Rates	closing equity	closing equity	improvement or	closing equity	substantive work in
*-Closing Equity Gaps in Access and Outcomes	gaps, student	gaps, student	planning in	gaps, student	improving equity
Engaging in Professional Development to close equity gaps and increase	success, retention,	success,	closing equity	success, retention,	gaps, student success,
student retention	completion or	retention,	gaps, student	completion or	retention, completion
	throughput as	completion or	success, retention,	throughput as	or throughput as
Engaging in Professional Development to modify curriculum to reflect the	substantiated by	throughput as	completion or	substantiated by	substantiated by
college's diverse student population	appropriate data	substantiated	throughput as	appropriate data	appropriate data
		by appropriate	substantiated by		
Ensuring equitable access to courses that have pre-requisites in order to		data	appropriate		
remove barriers for students, especially those from historically marginalized			data		
groups					
+-Throughput/Course Sequence Completion					
*-Degrees/Certificates Awarded					
*-Labor Market Demand					
+-Other/Related Regional College Programs					ļ.

Criteria (All Equally Weighted)	1 Point Very Minimal	2 points Minimal	3 Points Moderate	4 points Significant	5 Points Very Significant
	very Minimai	Millimai	Moderate	Significani	very significant
Student Services/Library examples may include but are not limited to, the following: +-Wait Times for Counseling Appointments +-Improved Achievement for Cohort Students +-Other Data Demonstrating Unmet Need or Growth, Including Data from Comparison Colleges, Gate Count, Students Served, etc.					
Criteria 3: Critical Need (Critical to the Program/and Institution) +Examples may include, but are not limited to, the following: -Issues with Federal or State Mandates -Replacement for Recent Retirement or Vacancy -No full-time faculty within discipline -Specialty Areas within Discipline/Service Area results in difficulty in finding part-time faculty -Required for Program, Courses, or Specific Service to Continue -Ranking within division (per division dean feedback) -Supporting students from historically marginalized groups	Lack of position would very minimally impact the program's ability to support student success	Lack of position would minimally impact the program's ability to support student success	Lack of position would moderately impact the program's ability to support student success	Lack of position would significant impact the program's ability to support student success	Lack of position would very significantly impact the program's ability to support student success
Criteria 4: Support of Strategic Plan +Examples may include, but are not limited to, the following: Ability of Department to Innovate and Meet Changing Needs in support of student success and equity Exploring and adopting strategies to reduce the cost of textbooks and materials as a means to removing barriers to student success Align with college vision, mission and values	Lack of position would very minimally impact the College's capacity to achieve its strategic goals	Lack of position would minimally impact the College's capacity to achieve its strategic goals	Lack of position would moderately impact the College's capacity to achieve its strategic goals	Lack of position would significantly impact the College's capacity to achieve its strategic goals	Lack of position would very significantly impact the College's capacity to achieve its strategic goals
Direct Support of at least 1 of 5 Strategic Goals Note: the more goals addressed the stronger the request -Increase equitable access (enrollment) -Eliminate equity gaps in course success (passing grade in class) -Increase persistence eliminate equity gaps (re-enrolling the subsequent semester or year) -Increase completion and eliminate equity gaps (graduating with a degree/certificate, or transferring) -Increase hiring and retention of diverse employees to reflect the students and communities we serve					

Notes:

- (1) In the event of unforeseen circumstances, such as tenure failure, resignation of a tenure-track faculty member, or internal promotion, the FHPC will develop an off-cycle request process and provide recommendations to the President.
- (2) No positions will be automatically replaced regardless of the circumstances.
- (3) The proposal will be ranked on a 5-point scale whole numbers only.

FHPC Review/Approval: 10/3/24

Academic Senate: 1st Review/2nd Read/Approval: